Observer Name:	Site:
Date:	Teacher:
Lesson:	Period:
Number of Students:	

**Directions**: The purpose of the observation form is to measure the quality of implementation of the program delivery. Please use the guidelines within when completing the observation form and *do not* change the scoring provided; for example, do not circle multiple answers or score a 1.5 rather than a 1 or 2. This form should be used by program staff not directly responsible for the program's implementation but who have been trained in the program. **Please read through the items prior to the observation.** 

Instructions: The following questions assess the overall quality of the program session and delivery of the information. Use your best judgment and do not circle more than one response.

### 1. In general, how clear were the program teacher's explanations of the activities?

1	2	3	4	5
Not clear		Somewhat clear		Very clear

- 1. Most participants do not understand the instructions and cannot proceed; many questions asked.
- 3. About half of the group understands, while the other half asks questions for clarification.
- 5. 90-100% of the participants begins and completes the activity/discussion with no hesitation and no questions.

### 2. To what extent did the teacher keep track of time during the session and activities?

1	2	3	4	5
Not on time		Some loss of time		Well on time

- 1. Teacher does not have time to complete the material (particularly at the end of the session); regularly allows discussion to drag on (e.g., participants seem bored or begin discussing non-related issues in small groups).
- 3. Misses a few points; sometimes allows discussions to drag on.
- 5. Completes all content of the session; completes activities and discussions in a timely manner (using the suggested time limitations in the program manual, if available).

## 3. To what extent did the presentation of materials seem rushed or hurried?

1	2	3	4	5
Very rushed		Somewhat rushed		Not rushed

- 1. Teacher doesn't allow time for discussion; doesn't have time for examples; tells participants they are in a hurry; body language suggests stress or hurry.
- 3. Some deletion of discussion/activities; sometimes states but does not explain material
- 5. Does not rush participants or speech but still completes all the materials; appears relaxed.

## 4. To what extent did the participants appear to understand the material?

1	2	3	4	5
Little understanding		Some understanding		Good understanding

Use your best judgment based on participant conversations and feedback.

1. Less than 25% seem to understand; 3. About half; 5. 75-100% understands

### 5. How exactly did the group members participate in discussions and activities?

1	2	3	4	5
Little participation		Some participation		Active participation

Use your best judgment based on participant conversations and feedback.

1. Less than 25% participation; 3. About half; 5. 75-100% participation

### 6. On the following scale, rate the teacher on the following qualities:

## a. Knowledge of the program

1	2	3	4	5
Poor		Average		Excellent

- 1. Cannot answer questions, mispronounces names; reads the manual.
- 5. Provides information above and beyond what's in the manual; seems very familiar with the concepts and answers questions with ease.

#### b. Level of enthusiasm

1	2	3	4	5
Poor		Average		Excellent

- 1. Presents information in a dry or boring way; lacks personal connection to material; appears "burned out."
- 5. Makes clear that the program is a great opportunity; gets participants talking and excited; outgoing.

#### c. Poise and confidence

1	2	3	4	5
Poor		Average		Excellent

- 1. Appears nervous or hurried; does not have good eye contact
- 5. Does not hesitate in addressing concerns. Well organized, not nervous.

### d. Rapport and communication with participants

1	2	3	4	5
Poor		Average		Excellent

- 1. Doesn't remember names; does not "connect' with participants; acts distant or unfriendly.
- 5. Gets participants talking and excited; very friendly; uses people's names when appropriate; seems to understand the community and its needs.

## e. Effectively addressed questions and concerns

1	2	3	4	5
Poor		Average		Excellent

- 1. Engages in "power struggles"; responds negatively to comments; gives inaccurate information; doesn't direct participants elsewhere for further info.
- 5. Answers questions of fact with information, questions of value with validation; if doesn't know answer, is honest about it and directs them elsewhere.

## 7. Rate the overall quality of the program session:

1	2	3	4	5
Poor		Average		Excellent

Summary measure of all the preceding questions. Asses both the extent of material covered and the performance of the teacher.

#### Excellent session looks like:

- Participants are doing rather than talking about activities
- Non-judgmental responses to questions
- Answering questions of fact with information, questions of value with validation
- Good time management and well-organized
- Completed the lesson
- Adequate pacing- not too fast and did not drag
- Using effective checks for understanding

#### Poor session looks like;

- Lecture-style of presenting the content
- Reading the content from the notebook
- Stumbling along with the content and failing to make connections to what has been discussed previously or what participants are contributing
- Uninvolved participants
- Getting into power struggles with participants about the content
- Judgmental response
- Flat affect and boring style
- Unorganized and random
- Loses track of time